

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,169	09/15/2003	Prosenjit Ghosh	P17699	2826
25694 75	90 01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
INTEL CORPORATION			DUONG, HUNG V	
P.O. BOX 5326 SANTA CLAR	A, CA 95056-5326		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2835	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			All .				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100				
Office Action Summers	10/663,169	GHOSH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hung v Duong	2835					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply in the specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep eply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this comm  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
·_ ·	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-40 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examil	ner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appionity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Sta	ige				
		Almy "	7				
Attachment(s)		HUNG VAI PRIMARY I	N DUONG				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0.</li> </ul>		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15.	2)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, recitation "wherein when the second panel is unfolded from the housing a stand and a keyboard are exposed" is not clearly understand. Define the appropriate recitation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Honda et al (US Pat. 5,646,820).

Regarding claims 1-8, Honda et al disclose in figures 3-4, 9 an apparatus, comprising: a housing 1; a first panel 2 coupled to the housing and including a handle 95; and a second panel 3 coupled to the housing, wherein when the second panel is unfolded from the housing a stand 7 and a keyboard 18 are exposed wherein the housing 1 includes a front side and a back side, and wherein the first panel 2 is coupled to the housing at the back side wherein the first panel 2 is affixed to the back side wherein the first panel 2 includes a guiding mechanism 96 to guide the handle 95 wherein the second panel 3 is coupled to the housing at the back side and below the first panel 2 wherein the second panel 3 is attached to the housing at substantially near bottom of the back side of the housing 1 using a hinge mechanism 57 wherein the hinge mechanism 57 is to assist with folding or unfolding of the second panel 3 relative to the back side of the housing 1 wherein the second panel 3 includes the stand 7 and a keyboard tray 19 which includes the keyboard.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to show that wherein the keyboard tray is partially wrapped by the stand and can be slid into or out of the stand.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,169

Art Unit: 2835

4. Claims 21-40 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to show that a keyboard tray including a wireless keyboard and a stand, the keyboard slidable into or out of the stand, wherein the stand is coupled to a housing and foldable relative to the housing or that the wireless telephone handset is included in a keyboard tray having a stand to support the housing.

Page 4

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Roylance (US Pat. 5,687,058) teaches reducing at least one dimension of a computer keyboard.

Ryder (US Pat. 6,597,568) teaches carrying case for mobile office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on (571) 272-2092. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

**HVD** 

1/07/05

Hung Duong

Primary Examiner